

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/773,438	01/31/2001	Dennis L. Salbilla	P02104US0/10100157	3287	
7	590 08/15/2005		EXAMINER		
Dennis L. Salbilla			CHORBAJI, MONZER R		
1906 Tangle Pines Court Houston, TX 77062			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•	•		1744		
			DATE MAILED: 08/15/2005	DATE MAILED: 08/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/773,438	SALBILLA, DENNIS L.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MONZER R. CHORBAJI	1744		

	<u> </u>						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	MONZER R. CHORBAJI	1744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress				
THE REPLY FILED 25 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Normal (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in composition following time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of pwing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	of Appeal. To avoid at offidavit, or other evid- compliance with 37 of	ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no							
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on the mailing date on the second of	of the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three montherarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension of (2)	on fee under 37) as set forth in (b)				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS	hut wine to the date of filing a bein	of will mat be entered	haaaisa.'				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		educing or simplifying	g the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	eiected claims					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	-	.,					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	compliant Amendmen	it (PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be at the non-allowable claim(s). 		e, timely filed amendr	ment canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profite the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and ar	n explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,5,6,14,15 and 27</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>2-4,7-11,13,16</u>	and 17.						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, to because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant f See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a)(1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ached.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
	. ·						
	•						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Continuation of 13. Other:

On pages 8-9 of the Remarks section, applicant argues that, "According to Webster's New World Dictionary, the word constant means going on all the time; continual; persistant. As such, the Applicant's specification more than reasonably conveys to one of ordinary skill in the art that the electric charge can be continuous." The specification on pages 14-17 and 19 does not teach continuously applying an electric charge nor does it disclose time intervals. Instead, the specification teaches applying a current whenever is needed to reduce fouling to heat exchangers. Thus, in view of the teachings of the specification, the meaning of the word "constant" is considered to be equivalent to invariable, uniform, something that does not change. See page 247 of Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary, Tenth Edition. Like the specification, the Carson reference teaches connecting a current source to heat exchangers and to apply the current when needed to clean fouled exchangers (col.4, lines 51-60).

On page 9 of the Remarks section, applicant argues that, "Terms like prevent imply a continual time interval opposed to terms like remove and clean that imply intermittent usages after the fact, as proven by the disclosure of Carson." Non of the instant claims under consideration recite the feature of "preventing". Furthermore, since the instant claims and the Carson reference are performing the same steps then the Carson reference is inherently preventing the accumulation of fouling within heat exchangers.

On page 10 of the Remarks section, applicant argues that, "In other words, Carson is a removal or cleaning process, not a preventive process." Again, as mentioned above none of the instant claims recite the feature "preventing".

On pages 10-11 of the Remarks section, applicant argues that, "As discussed above, any longer duration of electirc charge to the cooler of Carson would impart too much heat and would render the cooler unsatisfactory for its intended purpose which is cooling a process stream." As mentioned above, the specification on pages 14-17 and 19 does not teach continuously applying an electric charge nor does it disclose time intervals. Instead, the specification teaches applying a current whenever is needed to reduce fouling to heat exchangers. Like the sepecification, the Carson reference teaches connecting a current source to heat exchangers and to apply the current when needed to clean fouled exchangers (col.4, lines 51-60). In addition, the Carson reference teaches applying a constant electric charge (col.4, lines 15-18). The instant claims do not recite any time intervals or as discussed above does not teach applying continuous electric charge.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER